ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಉಳಿತಾಯವಾಗುವುದು?

ಇ. ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ 5 ದಿನಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನ್ವಯವಾಗುವುದು ಹಾಗೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಆಗುವ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನವೇನು?

ಉತ್ತರ

ಅ ಇಲ್ಲ

ಆ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

ಇ. ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಕೃಪಾಂಕದಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೇಮಕಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ನೌಕರರ ಕುರಿತು

ಚುಕ್ಕೆ ಗುರುತಿಲ್ಲದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 6(420)

ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರು ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿಂಧ್ಯ ಪಿ ಜಿ ಆರ್ (ಕನಕಪುರ)

ಉತ್ತರಿಸುವ ಸಚಿವರು ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್ ಎಂ ಕೃಷ್ಣ(ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ

ಅ) ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಕೃಪಾಂಕದಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೇಮಕಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರ ನೇಮಕಾತಿಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ವೋಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವು ಅಸಿಂಧುಗೊಳಿಸಿದೆಯೇ?

ಆ) ಹಾಗಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ, ಸರ್ವೋಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಆದೇಶದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಕೃಪಾಂಕದಡಿ ನೇಮಕಾತಿ ಪಡೆದು ವಿವಿಧ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ನೌಕರರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಎಷ್ಟು (ಇಲಾಖಾವಾರು ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡುವುದು)

ಇ) ಈ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ನೌಕರರನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಖಾಯಂಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳೇನು?

ಈ) ಸರ್ವೋಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ತೀರ್ಪನ್ನು ಪುನರ್ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲು ರಾಜ್ಯ

ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸರ್ವೋಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆಯೇ?

ಊ) ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಯಾವ ಹಂತದಲ್ಲಿದೆ? (ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡುವುದು)

ಉತ್ತರ

ಅ) ಸರ್ವೋಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ 11-10-2001ರ ತನ್ನ ತೀರ್ಪಿನಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ 11-11-1998 ರಿಂದ 26-11-99ರ ನಡುವೆ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಕೃವಾಂಕದೊಂದಿದೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ನೇಮಕಾತಿಗಳನ್ನು ವಿಧಿಮಾನ್ಯವಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಘೋಷಿಸಿದೆ

ಆ) ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಬಂಧ-1ರಲ್ಲಿ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಇ, ಈ ಮತ್ತು ಉ) ಇಂದಿನ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಬಂಧ-2ರಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

ANNEXURE-I

Statement showing the appointments made with the benefit of rural weightage after 11 11 1998 that is the date of the order of the Single Judge quashing the provision regarding grant of weightage to rural candidates

Sl. No	Name of the Field Department	Details of posts in respect of which select lists were published selecting candidates with the benefit of rural weightage	
		Name of the Post	Date of publication of the select list
1	2	(a)	3 (b)
01	E SI (M) Services	Jr Lab Technician	11 11 99
		X-ray Technician	11 11 99
		Insurance Medical Officers	31 08 00
02.	Labour Department	Labour Inspectors	26 09 00
03	Factories and Boilers	S D.A.	16 11 00
04	Karnataka Government Insurance Department.	Assistant Director/ District Insurance Officer	17 09 98
05	Commercial Taxes Department	Commercial Tax Inspectors	30 04 98
06	Department of Information	Information Assistant	12.06 00

No of Candidates selected with the benefit of rural weightage	Whether the select list was operated or not	Remarks
(c)	4	5
18	Operated	
01	Operated	
68	Operated	
28	Operated	
01	Operated	
02	Operated	
134	Operated	
07	Operated	

07	Department of Indian System of Medicine and Homeopathy	Lecturer	16 04 99
		S.D.A.	21 05 99
08	Health and Family Welfare Department	General Duty Medical Officer	19 05 00
		Dental Health Officer	19 05 00
		Refractionist	29 09 00
		Asst Entomologist	29 09 00
		Staff Nurses	04 07 98
		Social Worker	20 02 99
		Dietician	06 03 99
		Jr Chemist	24 06 99
09	Dept of Prosecution and Govt Litigation	Asst Public Prosecutors-cum Asst Govt Pleaders	01 04 99
10	High Court of Karnataka	Civil Judges (Jr Division)	18 12 98
11	Depat of Survey Settlement and Land Records	Second Division Surveyors	–
12.	Dept of Stamps and Registration	Sub-Registrars	21 05 99
13	Dept of Horticulture	Sr Assistant Director of Horticulture	20 03 99
14	Department of Drugs Control	Drugs Inspector	23 07 99
		Junior Chemist	09 07 99
		Junior Engineers	25 09 00

02		Operated	1 candidate not reported
08		Operated	
387			
6	|		
01	|		
20	|		
–	|	Operated	
04	|		
16	|		
16	|		
54		Operated	
24		Operated	
140		Operated	
42		Operated	
06		Operated	
06		Operated	
09		Operated	
02		Operated	

15	Department of Town Planning	Tracer	26 07 99
		Town Planning Supervisors	03 06 99
16	Woman and Child Welfare Department	Probationery Officers Gr -II	19 12 98
17	Police Department	Kannada Reporters	03 06 99
		Sub-Inspectors	-
18	Education Department		
	(i) Collegiate Education	S D A.	15 11 00
		P C I.	04 01 99
		Librarian	16 07 99
	(ii) Primary Education	Primary School Teachers	12 11 99
	(iii) Department of Public Library	Librarian	26 09 00
19	Assistants FDAs in the various Departments of Government		21 05 99 (main list) 17 11 99 (Addnl list)
20	Typists in the Various Departments		16 10 99
21	Stenographers in the various Departments of Government		16 10 99
			TOTAL

11	Operated
14	Operated
12	Operated
02	Operated
–	-
–	
06	Operated
–	Operated
10566	Operated
04	Operated
858	–
84	–
546	–
51	–
13,199	

ANNEXURE-2

The Single Judge of the High Court of Karnataka by his order dated 11-11 1998 in Writ Petition No 13157/98 held as void and struck down the provision relating to grant of weightage of 10 percent to Rural candidates for recruitment to the posts in the Civil Services of the State While admitting the Writ Appeal No 5807/98 filed by the State challenging the said order dated 11-11-1998 of the learned Single Judge, the Division Bench of the High Court on 4-12-1998 passed an interim order as quoted below

"Operation of the impugned order is stayed with the stipulation that hereinafter selections / appointments shall be made subject to the decision of the appeal Appointing authority before giving 'order of appointment' to a candidate having rural weightage, shall obtain an undertaking from the candidate that the appointment given shall be subject to the decision in these proceedings and that the candidate shall have no right to the post in the event of the order of the Single Judge being upheld "

2 Later the Division Bench, by its Judgement dated 26-11-1999 upheld the said order dated 11-11-1998 of the Single Judge with the following direction -

" We affirm the observations made by the learned Single Judge that the candidates who have already selected and appointed by giving ,rural wightage, should not be disturbed"

3 Subsequently on 16-12-1999 the Division Bench added the following direction -

" and also the persons appointed or selected during the pendency of these appeals until now on the basis of Rural Weightage"

4 The S L P No 2065-2084/2000 preferred by the State against the said Judgement dated 26-11-1999 was dismissed on 14-2-2000

5 Subsequently the Supreme Court by its order dated 11-10-2001 in Civil Appeal No 7105/2001 filed by Shri S Sreedhara and connected Civil Appeals set aside the two directions quoted above in relation to the appointments and also the selections made during, the pendency of the

Writ Appeals before the Division Bench of the High Court that is during the period between 11-11-1998 and 26-11-1999

6 The State filed Review Petitions against the said order dated 11-10-2001 of the Supreme Court The Supreme Court on 26-11-2001 ordered as quoted below in the said Review Petitions -

"These I As have been filed by the several applicants for clarification of our order / judgement The Review Petitions have been filed bringing in certain more materials facts After hearing the learned Solicitor General for the State and also the Applicants for the I As , we are not inclined to entertain either The Review Petitions as well as I A s , are dismissed If any person not being a party to the same is aggrieved by any order of this Government pursuant to our judgement, it would be fresh cause of action which he can assail in an appropriate forum

(In Review Petitions) Needless to mention, judgement of this Court would bind the parties in the proceedings"

7 The action to be taken in the light of the observations of the Supreme Court in its order dated 26-11-2001 in the said Review Petitions is being examined by Government